

Inequalities for independent random vectors under sublinear expectations

Xiaojuan Li* Mingshang Hu †

October 16, 2024

Abstract. In this paper, by using the representation theorem for sublinear expectations, we give a simple proof to obtain two inequalities about the sample mean for independent random vectors under sublinear expectations.

Key words. Sublinear expectation, Daniell-Stone's theorem, Minimax theorem, Lusin's theorem

AMS subject classifications. 60E05, 60E15

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ be a regular sublinear expectation space. Then, by Theorem 1.2.2 in [5], there exists a convex set of probability measures \mathcal{P} on $(\Omega, \sigma(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \max_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X] \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{H}.$$

From this, we can obtain

$$-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X] = \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X] \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since \mathcal{P} is convex, we know that $\{E_P[X] : P \in \mathcal{P}\} = [-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X], \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]]$ by convex combination of probabilities in \mathcal{P} for each $X \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent random variables in $L^2(\Omega)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ (see Definition 2.2). Fang et al. [2] first obtained the following inequality about the sample mean (see [3] for different proof method):

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i]) \right)^+ + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_i]) \right)^- \right|^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{n}, \quad (1.1)$$

where C is a positive constant. If $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is linear, then (1.1) is the following classic inequality about the sample mean:

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i]) \right|^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (1.2)$$

*Department of Mathematics, Qilu Normal University, Jinan 250200, China. lxj110055@126.com.

†Zhongtai Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China. humingshang@sdu.edu.cn. Research supported by NSF (No. 12326603, 11671231).

Therefore, (1.1) can be viewed as a natural generalization of (1.2) under the sublinear expectation. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent and identically distributed d -dimensional random vectors in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Under the assumption that the closed convex hull of $\{E_P[X_1] : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is convex polytope, Fang et al. [2] obtained the related inequality about the sample mean in Theorem 2.2.

In this paper, we study the inequalities about the sample mean for independent d -dimensional random vectors under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Let $X_i = (X_i^1, \dots, X_i^d)^T$, $i \leq n$, be an independent d -dimensional random vectors with $d > 1$. Since $E_P[X_i] \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and \mathbb{R}^d is partially ordered, it is difficult to give the form of $\{E_P[X_i] : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ by convex combination of probabilities in \mathcal{P} . By the representation theorem for $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ and the separation theorem for convex sets, we show that $\{E_P[X_i] : P \in \mathcal{P}\} = \Theta_i$, where Θ_i is defined in (3.3). Based on this, we give a simple method to get the inequality about the sample mean without the convex polytope assumption for Θ_i . Furthermore, by Sion's minimax theorem and Lusin's theorem, we obtain

$$\inf_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi \right|^2 \right] \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_n^2}{n},$$

where Θ and $\bar{\sigma}_n^2$ are defined in Theorem 3.2, $L^2(\Omega; \Theta) = \{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : \xi(\omega) \in \Theta \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega\}$.

This paper is organized as follows. We recall some basic results of sublinear expectation in Section 2. In Section 3, we give three main results for independent random vectors under regular sublinear expectation.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic results of sublinear expectation. The readers may refer to [5] for more details.

Let Ω be a complete separable metric space. Set $\mathcal{H} = C_{b.Lip}(\Omega)$, where $C_{b.Lip}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of bounded Lipschitz functions on Ω . A functional $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a regular sublinear expectation if it satisfies:

- (i) Monotonicity: if $X \geq Y$, then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$.
- (ii) Constant preserving: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$ for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) Subadditivity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$.
- (iv) Positive homogeneity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ for each $\lambda \geq 0$.
- (v) Regular property: if $X_n \downarrow 0$, then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_n] \downarrow 0$.

By the Daniell-Stone theorem, we have the following representation theorem for $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ (see [1, 4]).

Theorem 2.1 *There exists a convex and weakly compact set of probability measures \mathcal{P} on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X] \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ is the Borel σ -field.

For each fixed $p \geq 1$, denote by $L^p(\Omega)$ the completion of \mathcal{H} under the norm $\|X\|_p := (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p])^{1/p}$. By Hölder's inequality, we have $L^p(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$ for $p \geq 1$. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ can be continuously extended to $L^1(\Omega)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, the representation (2.1) still holds for $X \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is still a regular sublinear expectation on $L^1(\Omega)$. By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we can prove that $C_b(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$ (see Lemma 51 and Theorem 52 in [1]), where $C_b(\Omega)$ denotes the space of bounded and continuous functions on Ω .

A d -dimensional random vector $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)^T$ in $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ means that $X^i \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $i \leq d$. For each fixed $X \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, define $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X : C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X[\varphi] := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)] \text{ for } \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

$\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X$ is called the distribution of X . Two d -dimensional random vectors X and Y in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ are identically distributed if $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X = \hat{\mathbb{F}}_Y$, denoted by $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$. A random vector $Y \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ is independent of another random vector $X \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ means that for each $\psi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\psi(X, Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\psi(x, Y)]_{x=X}\right].$$

Definition 2.2 A sequence of random vectors $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is called independent if X_{i+1} is independent of (X_1, \dots, X_i) for $i \geq 1$. Similarly, $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $n > 1$ is called independent if X_{i+1} is independent of (X_1, \dots, X_i) for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

The proof of the following proposition is the same as that of Proposition 2.1 in [3].

Proposition 2.3 Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent random vectors in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Then, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\varphi \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$E_P[\varphi(X_i)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_i)], \text{ } P\text{-a.s., for } i \leq n,$$

where \mathcal{P} is given in Theorem 2.1, $C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$.

3 Main results

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent random vectors in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. For each $i \leq n$, define $g_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g_i(p) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle p, X_i \rangle] \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to check that

$$g_i(p_1 + p_2) \leq g_i(p_1) + g_i(p_2) \text{ and } g_i(\lambda p) = \lambda g_i(p) \text{ for } \lambda \geq 0.$$

By Theorem 1.2.1 in [5] and the separation theorem for convex sets, we can prove that there exists a unique convex and compact set $\Theta_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$g_i(p) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_i} \langle p, \theta \rangle \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Theta_i = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \theta, p \rangle \leq g_i(p) \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{R}^d\}. \quad (3.3)$$

The following theorem is our first main result.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent random vectors in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Then we have

- (1) $\Theta_i = \{E_P[X_i] : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ for $i \leq n$, where \mathcal{P} is given in Theorem 2.1 and Θ_i is defined in (3.3).
(2) for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \leq n$, $E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \in \Theta_i$, P -a.s., where $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$.

Proof. (1) It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$g_i(p) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle p, X_i \rangle] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\langle p, X_i \rangle] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \langle p, E_P[X_i] \rangle.$$

Set $\tilde{\Theta}_i = \{E_P[X_i] : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ for $i \leq n$. Since \mathcal{P} is convex and weakly compact, it is easy to verify that $\tilde{\Theta}_i$ is a convex and compact set in \mathbb{R}^d . Thus we obtain $\Theta_i = \tilde{\Theta}_i$ by the uniqueness of the representation for g_i .

(2) Set $\mathbb{Q}^d = \{p = (p^1, \dots, p^d)^T : p^i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for } i \leq d\}$, where \mathbb{Q} is the set of all rational numbers in \mathbb{R} . For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \leq n$, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that for each $p \in \mathbb{Q}^d$, we have

$$\langle p, E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \rangle = E_P[\langle p, X_i \rangle | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle p, X_i \rangle] = g_i(p), \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

Since \mathbb{Q}^d is countable and dense in \mathbb{R}^d , we obtain

$$\langle p, E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \rangle \leq g_i(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

which implies $E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \in \Theta_i$, P -a.s., by (3.3). \square

Based on the above theorem, we can get the following two main results.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent random vectors in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Then

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\rho_{\Theta}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right] \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_n^2}{n}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Theta = \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i : \theta_i \in \Theta_i \text{ for } i \leq n\}$, Θ_i is defined in (3.3), $\rho_{\Theta}(x) = \inf\{|x - \theta| : \theta \in \Theta\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$\bar{\sigma}_n^2 = \sup_{i \leq n} \inf_{\theta_i \in \Theta_i} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_i - \theta_i|^2]. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\rho_{\Theta}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P \left[\rho_{\Theta}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \in \Theta$, P -a.s., by (2) in Theorem 3.1. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_P \left[\rho_{\Theta}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right] &\leq E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[|X_i - E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]|^2] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[|X_i - E_P[X_i]|^2] \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{\theta_i \in \Theta_i} E_P[|X_i - \theta_i|^2] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{\theta_i \in \Theta_i} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_i - \theta_i|^2] \\
&\leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_n^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore, we deduce (3.4) by (3.6). \square

Remark 3.3 *Even if Ω is not a complete separable metric space and $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ does not satisfy the regular property (v), the inequality (3.4) still holds. Because we can consider the distribution $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X$ of $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, which is a regular sublinear expectation on $(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n}, C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n}))$. If $X_i \stackrel{d}{=} X_1$ for $i \leq n$, then $\Theta = \Theta_1$ and $\bar{\sigma}_n^2 = \bar{\sigma}_1^2 = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta_1} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1 - \theta|^2]$ in Theorem 3.2. In particular, we do not need to assume that Θ is a convex polytope as Theorem 2.2 in [2].*

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an independent random vectors in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Set $L^2(\Omega; \Theta) = \{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : \xi(\omega) \in \Theta \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega\}$, where Θ is defined in Theorem 3.2. Then*

$$\inf_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi \right|^2 \right] \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_n^2}{n}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_n^2$ is defined in (3.5).

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\inf_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi \right|^2 \right] = \inf_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi \right|^2 \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

Since Θ is convex and compact, it is easy to verify that $L^2(\Omega; \Theta)$ is convex and for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)$,

$$E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - (\lambda \xi_1 + (1 - \lambda) \xi_2) \right|^2 \right] \leq \lambda E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi_1 \right|^2 \right] + (1 - \lambda) E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi_2 \right|^2 \right].$$

Note that \mathcal{P} is weakly compact. Then, by Sion's minimax theorem, we obtain

$$\inf_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi \right|^2 \right] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \inf_{\xi \in L^2(\Omega; \Theta)} E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi \right|^2 \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

Since $C_b(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \in \Theta$, P -a.s., by Lusin's theorem, we can find a sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $L^2(\Omega; \Theta)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \xi_k \right|^2 \right] = E_P \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right|^2 \right]. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus we get (3.7) by (3.8)-(3.10). \square

References

- [1] L. Denis, M. Hu, S. Peng, Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G -Brownian motion paths, *Potential Anal.*, 34 (2011), 139-161.
- [2] X. Fang, S. Peng, Q. Shao, Y. Song, Limit theorems with rate of convergence under sublinear expectations, *Bernoulli*, 25(4A) (2019), 2564-2596.
- [3] M. Hu, X. Li, X. Li, Convergence rate of Peng's law of large numbers under sublinear expectations, *Probab. Uncertain. Quant. Risk*, 6 (2021), 261-266.
- [4] M. Hu, S. Peng, On representation theorem of G -expectations and paths of G -Brownian motion, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, 25 (2009), 539-546.
- [5] S. Peng, *Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty*, Springer (2019).