# Human Mobility Modeling with Limited Information via Large Language Models

Yifan Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xishun Liao<sup>1†</sup>, Haoxuan Ma<sup>1</sup>, Brian Yueshuai He<sup>1</sup>, Chris Stanford<sup>2</sup>, and Jiaqi Ma<sup>1</sup>

Abstract-Understanding human mobility patterns has traditionally been a complex challenge in transportation modeling. Due to the difficulties in obtaining high-quality training datasets across diverse locations, conventional activity-based models and learning-based human mobility modeling algorithms are particularly limited by the availability and quality of datasets. Furthermore, current research mainly focuses on the spatialtemporal travel pattern but lacks an understanding of the semantic information between activities, which is crucial for modeling the interdependence between activities. In this paper, we propose an innovative Large Language Model (LLM) empowered human mobility modeling framework. Our proposed approach significantly reduces the reliance on detailed human mobility statistical data, utilizing basic socio-demographic information of individuals to generate their daily mobility patterns. We have validated our results using the NHTS and SCAG-ABM datasets, demonstrating the effective modeling of mobility patterns and the strong adaptability of our framework across various geographic locations.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding and accurately generating human mobility patterns has long been an essential challenge in the field of transportation research. Its implications extend far beyond traffic management, influencing city development, urban planning, public health policies, and even retail strategies [1], [2], [3]. An accurate human mobility pattern generation can lead to more efficient transportation systems, better-designed urban spaces, and improved quality of life for civilians.

Over the past decades, traditional activity-based models (ABMs) have significantly shaped our understanding of human mobility by simulating daily activities based on individual and household socio-economic characteristics. These models, emerging prominently since 1999, have been utilized extensively by governmental bodies like the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) to analyze and predict local mobility patterns [4], [5], [6]. While ABMs are adept at incorporating complex behavioral and economic dynamics, they require extensive local data inputs and rely on numerous assumptions about human activity patterns and economic behaviors. Parallel to ABM advancements, the development of data-driven approaches, particularly those leveraging neural networks, has gained momentum in capturing dynamic mobility patterns. These methods utilize large datasets from sources such as mobile phones or GPS, analyzing spatio-temporal trends to model mobility trends [7], [8], [9], [10]. Despite their effectiveness, these approaches present challenges, including the substantial requirements for civilians' mobility data which raises privacy concerns and the difficulty in adapting to sudden changes in urban settings. Additionally, the interpretability of these models often remains limited, posing challenges in validating and understanding their predictions in real-world applications [11], [12].

With the advancement of computational power and the rise of large-scale knowledge-based AI, especially through Large Language Models (LLMs) or Vision Language Models (VLMs), new opportunities have emerged in the field of human mobility modeling. These models, such as GPT-3.5 and its successors [13], have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in understanding and generating human-like text across diverse domains [14]. A key strength of LLMs lies in their ability to understand and generate complex sequences with strong interdependencies and interpretability[15]. Trained on diverse textual data, LLMs can incorporate a wide range of human experiences and behaviors, potentially leading to more nuanced and varied human mobility modeling compared with conventional methods, as daily routines often involve intricate chains of activities with subtle interrelations.

Building upon the concept of "activity chain," which represents the daily activity sequence of individual agents [10], our proposed framework leverages the strong inference and reasoning abilities of LLMs to generate agents' daily activity chains based on provided socio-demographic information, high-level statistics from public resources, and structured guidelines. Our method guides LLMs in modeling agents' mobility patterns that align with their socio-demographic information and represent real-world logical patterns. While overcoming the limitations of existing approaches, our approach shows promising results with the lowest Jensen-Shannon Divergence (JSD) of 0.011 when compared with the National Household Travel Survey dataset (NHTS) and the synthetic results from SCAG's ABM model (SCAG-ABM).

Empowered with LLMs, our approach significantly reduces the reliance on extensive historical data and assumptions about human behavior. This innovation opens new possibilities for understanding and generating complex human mobility patterns across different geographic locations. By understanding human dynamics through micro-simulation of daily activities, our method could potentially enhance traffic demand modeling and support multi-scale, multimodel transportation simulations, providing the foundation for advanced urban planning [16].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The authors are with the UCLA Mobility Lab and Mobility Center of Excellence, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The author is with the Novateur Research Solutions, Ashburn, VA, USA. <sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

### A. Contributions

Our study makes several key contributions to the field of human pattern modeling compared with existing literature:

- We demonstrate the capability of LLMs to generate the location-based mobility pattern of a human being using only his or her social-demographic information and accessible statistical data of the region. This approach significantly reduces dependency on extensive and often unavailable mobility or trajectory datasets.
- We introduce a semantic method to address the mobility pattern generation problem, compared to traditional location-based trajectory generation. This approach allows for a more interpretable human mobility modeling and enables context-aware generation that better reveals the underlying dependency of real-world human travel behaviors.
- We are the first to employ LLMs for the task of activity chain generation. This innovative use of LLMs opens new avenues for modeling complex behavioral patterns with fewer data requirements and higher scalability than traditional methods.

### II. RELATED WORKS

### A. Human Mobility Modeling

Efforts to understand human mobility patterns have evolved over decades. In the 1940s, the "Law of Intervening Opportunities" was proposed, which suggested that travel between two places is motivated by socio-economic opportunities [17], [18]. In recent years, the advent of GPS systems and personal electronic devices with tracking functions has led to an abundance of activity patterns and trajectory data. This has enabled sophisticated data collection and the rapid evolution of generative models aimed at reconstructing human mobility patterns. The generation of activity chains has emerged as one of the most representative tasks in this field.

Activity-Based Models (ABM) represent a significant advancement in transportation planning, focusing on modeling human mobility patterns like travel behavior including simulating the daily activities of individuals [4]. These models utilize detailed data such as demographics, land use, transportation networks, and travel surveys to construct intricate activity chains that represent the sequence and type of activities performed by individuals [19]. For example, SimAGENT [20] was applied by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) to analyze regional travel behaviors and assess the impacts of transportation policies. Despite their detailed output, ABMs come with substantial limitations. They require extensive data collection and sophisticated modeling of transportation networks and travel behaviors, making them resource-intensive. Additionally, the heavy reliance on assumptions about travel patterns often restricts their applicability to regions outside the original model setup, posing challenges for their adaptation to different geographic or demographic contexts.

In recent years, with advancements in computational hardware and the proliferation of trajectory data collected through mobile devices or social media platforms [21], [22], learning-based methods have emerged as an alternative solution that is easier to implement. Techniques such as deep learning using raw position data [23], Graph Convolutional Networks (GNNs) with activity history [24], and transformer architecture [10] have shown promising results with training on mobility or trajectory data collections. However, the performance of learning-based models heavily depends on the quantity and quality of available data. This dependency highlights a significant challenge: human mobility data is often expensive or difficult to access, frequently requiring Non-Disclosure Agreements.

The limitations of previous methods in mobility modeling are often rooted in their reliance on extensive and accurate datasets, or numerous assumptions about human behavior and mobility patterns. Such dependencies can introduce biases or inaccuracies when applied across diverse geographic locations or demographic groups [10]. Consequently, there is a pressing need to explore the potential of training-free tools like LLMs in synthesizing human mobility patterns using more accessible and open-source data sources. This approach could potentially overcome the data acquisition challenges discussed earlier while retaining the benefits of advanced modeling techniques.

### B. Large Language Models

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence have seen LLMs, trained on trillions of tokens from diverse data sources, emerge as potent tools capable of overcoming many of these limitations. These models leverage the transformer architecture, enabling them to excel in tasks ranging from personal assistant and legal advising to vehicle diver [25], [26], [27]. The inherent flexibility and generalization capabilities of LLMs, derived from their vast and varied training datasets, allow them to adapt quickly to new and unseen scenarios with minimal additional input [13].

In our research, we exploit these capabilities by employing an LLM-based approach to model human mobility patterns. This method significantly reduces the reliance on complete, location-specific training data, enabling effective activity chain generation even in regions where detailed mobility data is scarce. By utilizing LLMs, we bypass the need for extensive pre-training or supplementary feature engineering, making our framework uniquely suited for rapid deployment across different geographic contexts without the necessity for extensive dataset reconfiguration.

### **III. PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Building upon the concept of activity chain [10], the problem addressed in this study is defined as generating the daily activity chain for individual agents based on their social-demographic information. For each agent *i* with his or her social-demographic information collection  $D_i = \{d_i^{1}, d_i^{2}, \ldots, d_i^{n}\}$ , we aim to generate a daily activity chain *C* for that agent where each activity in the chain is defined

by its type A, start time  $T_s$ , and end time  $T_e$ . The output activity chain  $C_i$  for agent *i* can be represented as  $C_i = \{[A_i^{\ 1}, T_{si}^{\ 1}, T_{ei}^{\ 1}], [A_i^{\ 2}, T_{si}^{\ 2}, T_{ei}^{\ 2}] \dots, [A_i^{\ n}, T_{si}^{\ n}, T_{ei}^{\ n}]\}.$ 

# IV. METHODOLOGY

The architecture of our proposed framework is shown in Figure 1. The model inputs consist of social-demographic data for each agent, including attributes like gender, employment status, and education status. This data equips our framework with the necessary context to generate realistic and representative daily activity chains, leveraging LLMs' robust inferential capabilities to generate logical activity chains based on the agent's profile.

## A. Agent Demographic

The demographic information of each agent forms the input of the model, helping to tailor the activity chain generation to the specific characteristics of the agent. In order to best utilize the LLMs' comprehension and interpretation of these attributes, as shown in Figure 1, we represent the agent demographic data into natural language expressions, which ensures a deeper contextual understanding by the LLMs, enabling more accurate and relevant activity chain generation that reflects the nuanced profiles of individual agents.

# B. Large Language Model

We provide the LLMs with a structured system prompt that guides the generation of activity chains. The components of the system prompt are designed to provide comprehensive context and clear instructions, ensuring the generated outputs are both logically reasonable and aligned with the demographic data. The structured input consists of the following elements:

- Task Description: This component provides the overview of the task, directing the LLM to generate a sequence of daily activities based on the provided social-demographic information of agents.
- 2) High-Level Statistical Data: To augment the LLM's contextual understanding and improve its generation accuracy, we incorporate high-level statistical data that is readily accessible from public sources. This includes demographic profiles from national censuses or governmental databases, transport usage statistics from public transit authorities, and economic indicators such as median household income from financial institutions. These statistics provide a brief overview of general mobility patterns and activity preferences, facilitating informed generations by the LLMs about activity types and timings. Such easily accessible data offers a macroscopic view of societal behaviors and trends and helps LLMs generate realistic and contextually appropriate activity chains.
- 3) Guidelines for Generation: To ensure the generated activity chains are realistic and diverse, guidelines outline the expected structure and realism of the outputs. These include maintaining logical sequences of

activities and appropriate time allocations, which aid the model in generating feasible daily schedules.

4) Few-Shot Examples: A set of example activity chains is also provided to illustrate the desired format and to familiarize the LLMs with characteristics such as the length of activities, frequency of different activity types, and the logical sequence of activities within a day. These examples serve as practical templates that guide LLMs in accurately constructing activity chains by showcasing typical patterns and transitions.

Figure 2 provides an example of the system prompt. These components collectively ensure that the LLM has a clear understanding of the task requirements and the contextual background needed to generate accurate and representative activity chains.

### C. Activity Chain

The output of our framework consists of activity chains for each agent, detailing the activity type, start time, and end time. Leveraging the capabilities of LLMs, this approach transcends traditional data-intensive modeling techniques, offering a flexible and scalable method to generate daily human activities with minimal input requirements.

Activity Code	Activity Description
1	Home activities or Work from home
2	Work-related activity or Volunteer
3	Attend school
4	Attend child or adult care
5	Buy goods (groceries, clothes, gas)
6	Buy services (dry cleaners, banking, service a car)
7	Buy meals (go out for a meal, food, carry-out)
8	General errands (post office, library)
9	Recreational activities (visit parks, movies, bars)
10	Exercise (jog/walk, walk the dog, gym, etc)
11	Visit friends or relatives
12	Health care visit (medical, dental, therapy)
13	Religious or community activities
14	Something else
15	Drop off/pick up someone

TABLE I: Activity types aggregated in the NHTS 2017 dataset for the Los Angeles area.

### V. DATASET

#### A. National Household Travel Survey Dataset

The 2017 National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) dataset [28], serves as the reference dataset for our study. This dataset provides an extensive snapshot of travel behaviors throughout different states in the United States, encompassing a wide array of social-demographic information such as sex, gender, and employment status of individual agents, etc. Additionally, it details their daily activities, categorizing each by type, start time, and end time, which are critical for our analysis. As illustrated in Table I, we aggregate the activities into 15 types considering the location of the activities, each depicting a unique facet of daily life.

### Large Language Model

**Activity Chain** 



Fig. 1: Architecture of the proposed framework.

# B. Activity-Based Model Dataset from Southern California Association of Governments

In our evaluation framework, we incorporate the synthetic results from the Activity-Based Model (ABM) from the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), which synthesis activity patterns and travel demand across a region inhabited by approximately 26 million people [29], [30]. SCAG's synthetic results, built on extensive datasets and a detailed modeling process, serve as another reference specific to the California area in our analysis. It provides weekday activity chains that include activity types, start times, and end times, activity types were also aggregated to the same as the NHTS dataset shown in Table I.

### VI. EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS

We evaluated our approach using the NHTS dataset in the California area by randomly sampling 500 agents and generating their daily activity chains based on their socialdemographic data. These chains were then validated against the comprehensive daily activity records from the NHTS dataset and the SCAG dataset. In our experiments, we utilized two large language models: OpenAI's GPT-4 and Meta's Llama2-70b with the temperature setting of 1.2 and 1.0 respectively, comparing their performance in accurately simulating daily human activities.

Model	Туре	StartTime	EndTime	Duration	Length
GPT-4	0.016	0.032	0.039	0.016	0.057
Llama-2-70b	0.047	0.011	0.013	0.028	0.116

TABLE II: JSD value of GPT-4 and Llama-2-70b result with NHTS in California area

Model	Туре	StartTime	EndTime	Duration	Length
GPT-4	0.051	0.034	0.038	0.014	0.040
Llama-2-70b	0.074	0.013	0.014	0.020	0.095

TABLE III: JSD value of GPT-4 and Llama-2-70b result with SCAG in California area

Model	Туре	StartTime	EndTime	Duration	Length
SCAG-ABM	0.032	0.006	0.005	0.009	0.002

TABLE IV: JSD value of SCAG with NHTS in California area

The evaluation metrics will compare the distributions of activity type, start time, end time, duration, and the number of daily activities. We employed Jensen-Shannon Divergence (JSD) [31] as shown in Equation 1 to quantify the differences between the generated activity chains and the reference activity chains from the NHTS and the SCAG dataset.

$$JSD(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in X} \left[ P(x) \log\left(\frac{P(x)}{M(x)}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in X} \left[ Q(x) \log\left(\frac{Q(x)}{M(x)}\right) \right]$$
(1)

Where M = (P + Q)/2. In the JSD equation, P denotes the distribution of activity patterns derived from the generated activity chains, and Q denotes the distribution of activity patterns obtained from actual ground truth activity chains. The measured activity pattern includes the distribution of activity type, start/end time, duration, and length of the activity chain.

We analyzed the JSD values between our models and the reference datasets, as detailed in Table II and Table III, and between the two reference datasets themselves, presented in Table IV. A JSD value closer to 0 indicates a more accurate approximation with the reference dataset's distribution.

### A. Activity Type

The distribution of activity type shown in Figure 3d demonstrates GPT-4's close alignment with both NHTS and SCAG datasets, particularly in frequent categories like home and work-related activities. The JSD scores concrete this observation, where GPT-4 achieves exceptionally low scores (0.016 with NHTS and 0.051 with SCAG), indicating a high

<b># Task Description</b> Generate a one-day activity chain for an individual, considering their demographic information and the provided statistical data. This activity chain should reflect typical daily routines based on various factors like employment status, income level, and geographic location.
<ul> <li>## Activity Type Codes:</li> <li>Activities are categorized into 15 distinct types, each with a unique identifier:</li> <li>1. **Home activities**: Includes sleep and household chores, both at the apartment or during remote work.</li> <li>2. **Work-related activities**: Encompasses professional and volunteer work.</li> <li>3. **School attendance**.</li> </ul>
15. **Transport activities**: Dropping off or picking up someone.
# Statistical Data ## Geographic Location: **Country**: United States of America **State**: California **Year**: 2017
## Average Daily Activities: **Activity count**: The number of activities ranges from 3 to 15 per day.
<b>## Activities Pattern:</b> **Activities Pattern**: People starts activities gradually from early morning, majority amount of active happens during the daytime(32nd quarter to 68nd quarter), and gradually go down after the daytime time till the end of the day.
## Income Level: **Median Household Income**: \$71,805
## Work Distribution: **Part-Time Worker**: 21% of workers **Full-Time Worker**: 79% of workers
# Guidelines ## Demographic Correlation: Ensure the activity chain is realistic, considering the individual's demographic details. For example, student and workers usually go to school or go to work on weekday but largely not weekends.
<b>## Logical Sequence</b> : Activities should start and end at home, representing a logical daily routine from morning to night.
<b>## Output Format</b> : [activity type, start time in the xth quarter (15 minutes) of the day, end time in xth quarter (15 minutes) of the day]
<b># Example Activity Chains</b> Example of 30 different activities chains without demographic info, you may find some pattern or trend from that: [[1, 1, 32], [3, 33, 66], [1, 66, 96]] [[1, 1, 40], [2, 41, 57], [1, 57, 69], [7, 71, 81], [5, 82, 84], [1, 86, 96]] [[1, 1, 41], [12, 43, 49], [1, 51, 96]]
 [[1, 1, 36], [6, 37, 42], [1, 43, 52], [11, 52, 65], [1, 66, 74], [2, 75, 81], [1, 83, 96]] [[2, 1, 27], [7, 28, 30], [1, 34, 59], [1, 61, 85], [2, 88, 96]] [[2, 1, 31], [2, 32, 52], [2, 54, 60], [6, 61, 65], [1, 67, 69], [1, 74, 85], [15, 85, 89], [1, 89, 96]] [[1, 1, 25], [2, 28, 68], [1, 70, 96]]

Fig. 2: Example of input system prompt for LLMs.

level of accuracy in replicating these distributions. Llama-2-70b, though competitive with scores of 0.047 with NHTS and 0.074 with SCAG, shows slight deviations in infrequent activities like visiting, healthcare, and religious activities.

# B. Start and End Times

The start and end time distributions from our approach, illustrated in Figure 3a, align closely with those from the ref-

erence datasets, displaying a common trend where activities intensify during daytime hours. Both models capture peaks at the start and close of typical workday hours, reflecting common active times. Specifically, both GPT-4 and Llama2-70b demonstrate a capability to replicate these peaks, although Llama2-70b shows a marginally better precision in this aspect, as indicated by its lower JSD scores (0.011 for



Fig. 3: Evaluation matrix on SCAG and NHTS dataset



Fig. 4: Activity start/end time distribution for students and workers

start and 0.013 for end times with NHTS; similarly low with SCAG). GPT-4, while slightly higher in JSD scores (0.032 for start and 0.039 for end times with NHTS; 0.039 and 0.038 with SCAG), still competently captures the general trends.

However, it is important to consider potential biases inherent in the reference datasets due to the difference

between the survey-based dataset (NHTS) and the synthetic dataset generated by a choice-based model (SCAG-ABM). The SCAG and NHTS datasets do not exhibit a similar distribution of start and end times with a JSD of 0.006 for the start time and 0.005 for the end time. These discrepancies highlight the need for careful interpretation of model outputs

and suggest a potential area for refining data preprocessing or model training to better account for regional variations in activity patterns.

### C. Activity Duration

The distribution of activity durations, shown in Figure 3b, illustrates differences between the datasets and the model outputs. The NHTS dataset exhibits a high frequency of short-duration activities, which may indicate a bias toward capturing brief, incidental activities. Conversely, the SCAG dataset displays a greater prevalence of medium-length activities, such as work or school sessions and home activities that typically occur at the beginning and end of the day, suggesting a focus on more structured daily routines.

The model outputs, particularly those from GPT-4, align more closely with the SCAG dataset, reflecting a strong capability in capturing the broader, more sustained activities that dominate daily life. GPT-4's performance, with JSD scores of 0.016 with NHTS and a JSD score of 0.014 with SCAG, demonstrates its adeptness at modeling activity durations with high accuracy. This alignment, especially without being explicitly informed of the distribution characteristics of SCAG's activity durations, underscores the robust inferential and reasoning capabilities of our framework.

Llama-2-70b, while effective, shows a broader spread in the duration plots with a JSD of 0.028 with NHTS and 0.020 with SCAG, indicating a slightly less precise capture of the typical duration profiles, particularly the peak durations.

### D. Activity Chain Length

Figure 3c shows the distribution of activity chain lengths across the models and datasets. The similarity between the NHTS and SCAG datasets in terms of chain length distribution is notable, with both exhibiting a prevalence of shorter activity chains, primarily ranging from 3 to 6 activities per chain. GPT-4 closely matches this pattern, demonstrating its capability to generate realistic activity sequences within this range, as evidenced by its JSD scores of 0.057 with NHTS and a particularly low 0.040 with SCAG.

However, both GPT-4 and Llama-2-70b, show limitations in generating longer activity sequences, with Llama-2-70b displaying higher JSD scores (0.116 with NHTS and 0.095 with SCAG). This suggests challenges in accurately modeling more complex daily routines that include longer chains of activities. The limited ability of LLMs to extend beyond medium-length chains can be partly attributed to the lack of detailed distributional information in the training data for specific geographic areas, highlighting a potential area for further research and model training enhancement.

### E. Activity pattern in different social group

As shown in Figure 4, our analysis of activity start and end times for specific social groups, namely students and workers, revealed distinct patterns effectively captured by the LLMs, albeit with some variations across models and datasets. For students, the activity patterns showed that GPT-4 closely matched the NHTS dataset, whereas Llama-2-70b aligned more with the SCAG dataset. This suggests that the models can successfully identify and replicate the characteristic activity patterns of students. Additionally, the differences observed between GPT-4 and Llama-2-70b in capturing these patterns may also stem from variations in their training datasets or methodologies, further emphasizing the need to consider the training background of each model when assessing their outputs.

For the worker group, both the NHTS and SCAG datasets displayed similar activity patterns, characterizing the typical workday with peaks around the start and end times, reflective of morning and evening commutes. Both GPT-4 and Llama-2-70b adeptly mirrored these trends, though they tended to underrepresent activities during the mid-day period. This could suggest a model sensitivity to the less pronounced activity patterns typically occurring during working hours.

### F. Activity pattern in different location

As shown in Figure 5, we also compare the activity start and end times across different activities in different location scopes (California and nationwide). We observed distinct patterns for routine and non-routine activities based on data from our approaches focusing on the California area, the SCAG dataset from California, and the NHTS dataset from the National wide scope. For routine activities such as 'Home' and 'Work', our models displayed a strong alignment with both the California-specific SCAG and the national NHTS datasets, indicating little variation between regional and national patterns for these activities. This consistency suggests that our LLM-based approach can effectively capture and replicate routine activity trends across different datasets.

In contrast, for non-routine activities like 'Eat out', our approach's outputs more closely followed the SCAG dataset, reflecting California-specific dining habits and times, which differ from national averages as captured in the NHTS dataset. The capability of our approach to align closely with region-specific data for non-routine activities suggests it can be a valuable tool for modeling human mobility patterns that are sensitive to local context, further affirming its applicability across diverse geographical areas.

## VII. LIMITATIONS

While demonstrating robust modeling capabilities, the results acknowledge several limitations that could influence the outcomes and interpretations of the results. The reference datasets, namely NHTS and SCAG, utilized for validating our model's performance may contain inherent biases due to their acquired methodologies. These biases can affect the reliability of these datasets as benchmarks, as they might not accurately represent the full spectrum of mobility patterns across different populations or regions.

Our models' ability to generate realistic activity chain lengths could be enhanced by incorporating more detailed and granular data. Currently, the lack of depth in the available data restricts our models' capacity to simulate more complex activity patterns, particularly for longer activity chains that require a nuanced understanding of daily human behaviors.



Fig. 5: Activity start/end time distribution for home, work, and school activities

The differences in architecture and training datasets of the LLMs, namely GPT-4 and Llama-2-70b, result in inconsistent patterns in the activity chains generated. These inconsistencies pose challenges for validation, especially when the ground truth datasets might also exhibit biases, making it difficult to ascertain the accuracy and reliability of the model outputs.

### VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study introduced a novel approach that efficiently employs LLMs to generate human mobility patterns using minimal social-demographic data. Our approach, utilizing GPT-4 and Llama2-70b alongside the NHTS and SCAG datasets, has proven capable of accurately simulating daily activities. GPT-4 has shown exceptional proficiency in matching activity types and durations, while Llama2-70b has excelled in the precision of timing generations. The low JSD value across both models underscores their robust ability to capture complex activity patterns with significantly less data dependency than traditional models.

These achievements have substantial implications for urban planning and smart city applications, illustrating the potential of LLMs to refine transportation modeling. The adaptability of our framework to different geographic and demographic settings, without extensive retraining, presents a promising avenue for broader implementation in policymaking and urban development.

Despite notable achievements, our models exhibited limitations in accurately generating the distribution of activity chain lengths and potential biases within the training datasets. Future efforts will focus on enhancing the models' ability to simulate extended and multiday activity chains by integrating more granular data that capture a comprehensive range of daily human activities. This enhancement will involve employing richer datasets and developing more sophisticated fine-tuning techniques, such as training mixtureof-experts (MOE) models specifically for transportation or human mobility. Additionally, we will refine our evaluation methods to better recognize and adjust for biases in ground truth datasets. This may include employing advanced statistical methods or utilizing alternative, more nuanced data sources for human mobility to ensure a more accurate and robust analysis.

Our goal is to extend the current day-to-day generations to multi-day activity chain forecasts, thereby capturing the cyclical nature of human behaviors throughout the week. By doing so, we aim to set a new benchmark in mobility modeling accuracy, providing more detailed insights for urban planning and transportation policy-making across diverse environments.

## IX. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supported by the Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) via the Department of Interior/Interior Business Center (DOI/IBC) contract number 140D0423C0033. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright annotation thereon. Disclaimer: The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of IARPA, DOI/IBC, or the U.S. Government.

#### REFERENCES

- H. Barbosa, M. Barthelemy, G. Ghoshal, C. R. James, M. Lenormand, T. Louail, R. Menezes, J. J. Ramasco, F. Simini, and M. Tomasini, "Human mobility: Models and applications," *Physics Reports*, vol. 734, pp. 1–74, 2018.
- [2] Y. Li, M. Li, M. Rice, H. Zhang, D. Sha, M. Li, Y. Su, and C. Yang, "The impact of policy measures on human mobility, covid-19 cases, and mortality in the us: a spatiotemporal perspective," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 18, no. 3, p. 996, 2021.
- [3] L. Vanhaverbeke and C. Macharis, "An agent-based model of consumer mobility in a retail environment," *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 20, pp. 186–196, 2011.
- [4] S. Rasouli and H. Timmermans, "Activity-based models of travel demand: promises, progress and prospects," *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 31–60, 2014.
- [5] C. R. Bhat and F. S. Koppelman, "Activity-based modeling of travel demand," in *Handbook of transportation Science*. Springer, 1999, pp. 35–61.
- [6] K. G. Goulias, C. R. Bhat, R. M. Pendyala, Y. Chen, R. Paleti, K. C. Konduri, G. Huang, and H.-H. Hu, "Simulator of activities, greenhouse emissions, networks, and travel (simagent) in southern california: Design, implementation, preliminary findings, and integration plans," in 2011 IEEE Forum on Integrated and Sustainable Transportation Systems. IEEE, 2011, pp. 164–169.
- [7] K. Siła-Nowicka, J. Vandrol, T. Oshan, J. A. Long, U. Demšar, and A. S. Fotheringham, "Analysis of human mobility patterns from gps trajectories and contextual information," *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 881–906, 2016.
- [8] Z. Huang, X. Ling, P. Wang, F. Zhang, Y. Mao, T. Lin, and F.-Y. Wang, "Modeling real-time human mobility based on mobile phone and transportation data fusion," *Transportation research part C: emerging technologies*, vol. 96, pp. 251–269, 2018.
- [9] J. Tang, F. Liu, Y. Wang, and H. Wang, "Uncovering urban human mobility from large scale taxi gps data," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics* and its Applications, vol. 438, pp. 140–153, 2015.
- [10] X. Liao, B. Y. He, Q. Jiang, C. Kuai, and J. Ma, "Deep activity model: A generative approach for human mobility pattern synthesis," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2405.17468, 2024.
- [11] S. Chakraborty, R. Tomsett, R. Raghavendra, D. Harborne, M. Alzantot, F. Cerutti, M. Srivastava, A. Preece, S. Julier, R. M. Rao et al., "Interpretability of deep learning models: A survey of results," in 2017 IEEE smartworld, ubiquitous intelligence & computing, advanced & trusted computed, scalable computing & communications, cloud & big data computing, Internet of people and smart city innovation (smartworld/SCALCOM/UIC/ATC/CBDcom/IOP/SCI). IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [12] R. Pellungrini, L. Pappalardo, F. Pratesi, and A. Monreale, "Fast estimation of privacy risk in human mobility data," in *Computer Safety*, *Reliability, and Security: SAFECOMP 2017 Workshops, ASSURE, DECSoS, SASSUR, TELERISE, and TIPS, Trento, Italy, September 12,* 2017, Proceedings 36. Springer, 2017, pp. 415–426.
- [13] J. Achiam, S. Adler, S. Agarwal, L. Ahmad, I. Akkaya, F. L. Aleman, D. Almeida, J. Altenschmidt, S. Altman, S. Anadkat *et al.*, "Gpt-4 technical report," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [14] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, S. Agarwal, A. Herbert-Voss, G. Krueger, T. Henighan, R. Child, A. Ramesh, D. Ziegler, J. Wu, C. Winter, C. Hesse, M. Chen, E. Sigler, M. Litwin, S. Gray, B. Chess, J. Clark, C. Berner, S. McCandlish, A. Radford, I. Sutskever, and D. Amodei, "Language models are few-shot learners," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. Balcan, and H. Lin, Eds., vol. 33. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020, pp. 1877–1901.
- [15] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. u. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, Eds., vol. 30. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- [16] C. Krause. (Accessed: 2024-07-24) Haystac: Hidden activity signal and trajectory anomaly characterization. [Online]. Available: https://www.iarpa.gov/research-programs/haystac
- [17] S. A. Stouffer, "Intervening opportunities: a theory relating mobility and distance," *American sociological review*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 845– 867, 1940.

- [18] G. K. Zipf, "National unity and disunity; the nation as a bio-social organism." 1941.
- [19] C. R. Bhat, K. G. Goulias, R. M. Pendyala, R. Paleti, R. Sidharthan, L. Schmitt, and H.-h. Hu, "A household-level activity pattern generation model for the simulator of activities, greenhouse emissions, networks, and travel (simagent) system in southern california," in 91st Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, 2012.
- [20] C. R. Bhat, K. G. Goulias, R. M. Pendyala, R. Paleti, R. Sidharthan, L. Schmitt, and H.-H. Hu, "A household-level activity pattern generation model with an application for southern california," *Transportation*, vol. 40, pp. 1063–1086, 2013.
- [21] S. Hoteit, S. Secci, S. Sobolevsky, C. Ratti, and G. Pujolle, "Estimating human trajectories and hotspots through mobile phone data," *Computer Networks*, vol. 64, pp. 296–307, 2014.
- [22] Z. Yin, L. Cao, J. Han, J. Luo, and T. Huang, "Diversified trajectory pattern ranking in geo-tagged social media," in *Proceedings of the* 2011 SIAM international conference on data mining. SIAM, 2011, pp. 980–991.
- [23] D. Y. Kim and H. Y. Song, "Method of predicting human mobility patterns using deep learning," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 280, pp. 56–64, 2018.
- [24] X. Kong, K. Wang, M. Hou, F. Xia, G. Karmakar, and J. Li, "Exploring human mobility for multi-pattern passenger prediction: A graph learning framework," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 16148–16160, 2022.
- [25] Y. Li, H. Wen, W. Wang, X. Li, Y. Yuan, G. Liu, J. Liu, W. Xu, X. Wang, Y. Sun *et al.*, "Personal llm agents: Insights and survey about the capability, efficiency and security," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.05459*, 2024.
- [26] J. Cui, Z. Li, Y. Yan, B. Chen, and L. Yuan, "Chatlaw: Open-source legal large language model with integrated external knowledge bases," arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16092, 2023.
- [27] J. Mao, Y. Qian, H. Zhao, and Y. Wang, "Gpt-driver: Learning to drive with gpt," arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01415, 2023.
- [28] U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, "2009 national household travel survey," https://nhts.ornl.gov, 2009.
- [29] B. Y. He, Q. Jiang, and J. Ma, "Connected automated vehicle impacts in southern california part-i: Travel behavior and demand analysis," *Transportation research part D: transport and environment*, vol. 109, p. 103329, 2022.
- [30] Q. Jiang, B. Y. He, and J. Ma, "Connected automated vehicle impacts in southern california part-ii: Vmt, emissions, and equity," *Transportation research part D: transport and environment*, vol. 109, p. 103381, 2022.
- [31] M. L. Menéndez, J. Pardo, L. Pardo, and M. Pardo, "The jensenshannon divergence," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 334, no. 2, pp. 307–318, 1997.